Marital Adjustment among Working and Nonworking Women

By M Gayathri* & S Viswanatha Reddy**

* Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, S.V. University, Tirupati, India

** Professor, Department of Psychology, S.V. University, Tirupati, India

DOI: 10.55662/AJMRR.2024.5301

Abstract

This research investigates the marital adjustment of 400 women, both working and non-working, resided in both rural and urban regions of Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh, India, with an objective to explore the influence of location, age, and family structure. Marital Adjustment Scale by Vinita Verma and Talwar were admitted to the subjects. Findings suggested that women in rural areas, below 45 years and from joint families exhibited better marital adjustment. These results emphasize how surroundings and family dynamics affect marital happiness and underscores the need for specific actions to improve relationships.

Keywords: Marital Adjustment, Locality, Age and Working and Non-Working Women.

2

Introduction

Life and marriage are deeply interconnected aspects that shape our journey. Life encompasses a multitude of experiences, from highs to lows, from joys to sorrows, and from successes to

a matatage of experiences, from linguis to lows, from Joys to softows, and from successes to

failures. Marriage, on the other hand, signifies the union of two individuals who choose to

share their lives together. It marks a significant milestone, introducing new challenges and

opportunities, and it represents a lifelong commitment to love, support, and cherish one another. A successful marriage demands patience, empathy, a readiness to compromise, and

the collaborative effort of both partners as a team. Together, they navigate life's hurdles and

celebrate its victories. Marital adjustment is a complex and multifaceted concept that requires

a nuanced understanding in order to fully grasp its significance.

Marital adjustment refers to the various ways in which married couples adapt and navigate their

lives together, dealing with challenges and changes that arise in different areas of their

relationship. The dynamics of marital relationships are influenced by a myriad of factors,

including demographic characteristics, cultural norms, and socio-economic status.

Understanding the intricacies of marital adjustment among women, both working and non-

working, residing in rural and urban areas, is crucial for fostering healthier relationships and

promoting overall well-being.

Review of Literature

Chandrakanth Jamadar (2022) explored how marital adjustment differs among degree college

professors, focusing on gender and location differences. The study involved 132 professors

from Kalaburagi, Karnataka, with an equal gender and urban-rural distribution. Using

Harmohan Singh's Marital Adjustment Scale, the findings highlighted a significant difference

in adjustment levels, with husbands generally reporting higher adjustment than wives.

Deepshikha Singh (2020) studied the relationship between marital adjustment and stress among

100 married women (working women = 50 and nonworking women = 50), aged 20 to 50, with

at least a graduate education and from middle to high socioeconomic backgrounds. The Marital

Adjustment Questionnaire (MAQ) by Kumar and Rohtagi and the Marital Stress Scale by Reeta

3

Kumari are used to collect the data. Findings suggested that the working women experienced

higher levels of stress and marital adjustment issues than nonworking women. Additionally,

the study observed that rural women, whether working or not, generally managed their marital

relationships well, but both rural and urban women experienced similar levels of stress.

Saheba (2019) conducted a study on marital adjustment among couples, focusing on

differences by gender and type of marriage. The research showed that married men typically

had better marital adjustment than women. It also found no notable differences in marital

adjustment between love and arranged marriages. Additionally, the study concluded that the

combined impact of gender and marriage type on marital adjustment was not significant.

Yadava (2018) aimed to identify the relation between perceived social support and marital

adjustment among working and nonworking women. Results showed that working mothers had

higher marital adjustment than nonworking mothers. Perceived social support, especially from

family's side played an important role in developing marital adjustment among women.

Al Fazari and Amir (2017) studied marital adjustment in Omani society, focusing on factors

like gender, age, number of children and divorce as predictors. By using self-developed Marital

Adjustment Questionnaire, they assessed 255couples from various regions of Oman. Results

revealed that level of marital adjustment among couples was high.

Sabre (2016) examined marital adjustment in relation to type of family among women

belonging to Madhya Pradesh. The study used Pramod Kumar and Kanchana Rohtgi's Marital

Adjustment Scale on 120 women (Nuclear families = 60 and Joint families = 60). The results

revealed that women belonging to nuclear families had higher levels of marital adjustment than

those from joint families.

Islam and Sultana (2015) studied the impact of sociodemographic factors on marital adjustment

among 220 employed women in Chittagong, Bangladesh. Using the Bengali version of the

Dyadic Adjustment Scale by Ilyas, the study revealed that working women with two or fewer

children, those from joint families, women with shorter marriage durations, those with higher

An <u>Open Access Publication from The Law Brigade Publishers</u>

4

educational levels, and women with higher household incomes generally reported better marital

adjustment compared to their counterparts.

Kanwar and Sharm (2015) explored the relationship between jealousy and marital adjustment

among 200 working and nonworking couples of rural and urban areas of Himachal Pradesh.

Results revealed that men reported higher marital adjustment and higher levels of jealousy as

compared to their women counterparts. In the same tune, the urban people reported better

adjusted marital life but more jealousy than to the people of rural area.

Huma Fatima (2014) compared the marital adjustment of 30 women from urban (N=15) and

rural (N= 15) areas over different marital durations (5 to 10 years, 10 to 15 years, and above

15 years) using the Marital Adjustment questionnaire developed by Kumar and Rohatgi. The

findings indicated significant differences, with urban women and those in shorter marital

durations (5-10 years) having better marital adjustment than their counterparts.

Vaghela (2014) investigated marital adjustment differences between employed and

unemployed married women in urban and rural areas. The study found significant differences

in marital adjustment scores, with employed women showing better adjustment in both settings.

Tiwari Jyoti and Bisht Prabha (2012) conducted a study on marital adjustment using the Marital

Adjustment Questionnaire by Pramod Kumar and Kanchana Rohtagi. The study included 100

women, evenly split between working and non-working women, all aged between 20–40 years.

The results revealed that non-working women as well as those in later years of marriage

experienced higher levels of marital adjustment. Additionally, women from nuclear families

demonstrated greater marital adjustment compared to those from joint families.

Jose (2007) explored how factors such as age, education, number of children, employment

status, and length of marriage impact marital satisfaction. Using the Maudsley Marital

Questionnaire (MMQ) with 787 married adults, the study found significant differences in

marital satisfaction between those first married and those remarried. Gender analysis revealed

distinct differences in satisfaction levels between men and women. The study also showed that

5

the length of marriage significantly correlated with marital satisfaction. Additionally, age and

number of children notably affected sexual adjustment, with age, number of children, and

length of marriage positively correlating with sexual adjustment, and education correlating

with general-life adjustment issues.

Objective

• To investigate the differences in marital adjustment among working and non-working

women based on locality (Rural vs Urban), age (below 45years vs above 45years), and

type of family structure (Nuclear vs Joint).

Hypotheses

> There would be significant difference between Rural and Urban Working and Non-

working Women with respect to their Marital Adjustment.

There would be significant difference between below 45 and above 45 years of Working

and Non-working Women with respect to their Marital Adjustment.

There would be significant difference between Working and Non-working Women from

joint and nuclear families with respect to their Marital Adjustment.

Sample and Tool

The current study's sample includes 400 women, both working and non-working, resided in

rural and urban areas of Chittoor district in Andhra Pradesh state. Among 400; 200 working

and 200 non-working women with an average age group of 43.6 years and hailing from joint

and nuclear families were taken into consideration. Marital Adjustment Scale developed and

standardized by Vinita Varma and Talwar (2006) was administered to the subject to collect the

data. The scale consisted of 50 items grouped into four sub scales viz., Interpersonal

Relationship, Financial, Emotional and Child and Family. Each statement carries four alternate

responses. The high score indicates good marital adjustment and a low score denotes poor

marital adjustment. The reliability of the test was established using test-retest method and it

was found to be 0.79. The obtained data was analysed statistically in order to test the hypotheses using parametric test i.e. 't' test was employed in order to compare the difference between the two groups.

Results and Discussion

Table-I: Means, SDS and 't' values of Marital Adjustment Scores of Rural and Urban Working and Nonworking Women.

Category	Locality	Mean	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Working women	Rural	141.67	28.35	4.39	0.01
	Urban	129.51	25.89		
Non-working	Rural	134.82	26.46	8.74	0.01
women	Urban	122.24	24.48		

Table-I displays the scores on marital adjustment of working and nonworking women based on their location of residence. The results of the t-test (t = 4.39, p < 0.01) suggests that there is a significant impact of locality on marital adjustment of working women. Working women who resided in rural areas has obtained higher mean score (M = 141.67) than the working women resided in urban areas (M = 129.51), indicating that working women staying in rural areas tend to have better marital adjustment.

Similarly, the results of the t-test for nonworking women (t=8.74, p<0.01) suggest that location has a significant effect on their marital adjustment. Nonworking women resided in rural areas scored higher mean (M=134.82) than the nonworking women resided in urban areas (M=122.24). The findings of the study align with previous research done by Chandrakanth Jamadar, 2022; Deepshikha Singh, 2020 and Huma Fatima, 2014, which also reported significant differences in marital adjustment between rural and urban women. The factors like community support and social norms in rural areas can contribute to higher marital adjustment compared to urban settings, where life is often more individualistic and stressful. Hence, the first hypothesis that there would be significant difference between rural and urban working and

non-working women with respect to their marital adjustment is accepted as warranted by the results.

Table –II: Means, SDs and 't' values of Marital Adjustment Scores of the working and nonworking women based on their age.

Category	Age	Mean	SD	t-value	Level of Significance
Working	Below 45 years	147.65	30.12	7.01	0.01
women	Above 45 years	139.46	28.01	,,,,,,	0.01
Nonworking	Below-45 years	161.39	32.28	12.38	0.01
women	Above 45 years	145.54	29.10	12.00	5.01

Table-II represents the marital adjustment scores categorized by age for both working and nonworking women. Working women below the 45 years of age scored a higher mean (M=147.65) than that of working women above 45 years of age (M=139.46), with a significant t-value of 7.01 (p < 0.01), indicating that working women, whose age is below 45 years exhibit better marital adjustment.

Nonworking women below 45 years of age scored higher mean in marital adjustment (M = 161.39) than the nonworking women with 45 years of age and above, with a significant t-value of 12.38 (t=12.38<0.01). This suggested that age of the subjects shown significant influence on marital adjustment, indicating that nonworking women under the age of 45 years have better marital adjustment. The obtained findings are consistent with the earlier research finding of Islam and Sultana, 2015 who found that younger women had better marital adjustment than the women whose age is 45 and above. It may be attributed to the more flexible roles and expectations of younger women in the marriage which contribute to better marital adjustment. The second hypothesis framed that there would be significant difference between below 45 and

above 45 years of working and non-working women with respect to their marital adjustment is accepted.

Table-III: Means, SDs and 't' values of Marital Adjustment Scores of Marital Adjustment Scores of Type of Family Working and Non-working women.

Category	Type of	Mean	SD	t-value	Level of
	Family				Significance
Working women	Nuclear	151.52	30.34	9.84	0.01
	Joint	160.41	32.15		
Non-working	Nuclear	152.90	31.26	6.14	0.01
women	Joint	140.80	28.17		

Table-III reports the marital adjustment scores of working and nonworking women from the nuclear and joint family types. The 't' value of 9.84, significant at 0.01 level, concluded that the type of family of the subjects considerably influence on their marital adjustment in case of working women from joint families. The mean score of working women in joint families (M = 160.41) is significantly higher than that of working women in nuclear families (M = 151.52). This enhancement in marital adjustment among working women in joint families may stem from the extended support and shared responsibilities typical of joint family settings. This collective family environment can alleviate stress and improve work-life balance, ultimately enhancing marital satisfaction. The obtain findings are in line with Islam and Sultana, 2015, who also found that working women in joint families have better marital adjustment. In case of the non-working women, the mean difference between the subjects of joint and nuclear families (t=6.14, p < 0.01) are found to be statistically significant. Non-working women from nuclear families are better on their marital satisfaction (M = 152.90) than the non-working women from joint families (M = 140.80). The increased marital adjustment in nuclear families for non-working women may relate to fewer family obligations and more autonomy within the marital relationship, leading to less interference and more personal independence. The noticed results are consistent with the previous finding of Sabre, 2016, who suggested that women from nuclear families have better marital adjustment than the women from joint families. So, the predicted hypothesis that there would be significant difference between working and nonworking women from joint and nuclear families on their marital adjustment is accepted as warranted by the results.

Conclusions

- 1. There is significant difference between the subjects resided in rural and urban areas. Both working and nonworking women resided in rural areas are better on their marital adjustment.
- 2. Age of the subjects shown significant difference on marital adjustment. Working and non-working women under the age of 45 years shown superior marital adjustment compared to the women with 45 years of age and above.
- Working women from joint families and non-working women from nuclear families
 are better on their Marital Adjustment than the working women from nuclear and nonworking women from joint families.

References

- 1. Al Fazari, M. K., & Amir, S. M. A. (2017). Factors predicting marital adjustment in Omani society. *International Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Analysis*, 2, 131. https://doi.org/10.15344/2455-3867/2017/131
- 2. Fatima, H. (2014). Marital adjustment among urban and rural women. International *Journal* of *Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies*, *1*(8), 108-111.
- 3. Islam, N., Shahrier, M. A., & Sultana, N. (2015). Effects of sociodemographic factors on the marital adjustment of working women in Bangladesh. *Journal of Life and Earth Sciences*, 10, 31-38.
- 4. Jamadar, C. (2022). Marital adjustment among degree college professors. *European Journal of Psychological Research*, 9(1), 52-59.
- 5. Kanwar, S., Zinta, R. L., & Sharma, A. (2015). Level of jealousy and marital adjustment amongst rural and urban working and non-working couples. *Scholedge International Journal of Multidisciplinary & Allied Studies*, 2(10), 11-37.

- 6. Orathinkal, J., & Vansteenwegen, A. (2007). Do demographics affect marital satisfaction? *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, *33*(1), 73-85.
- 7. Sabre, K. (2016). Marital adjustment among women: A comparative study of nuclear and joint families. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *3*(2), 26-32.
- 8. Saheba, K. A. (2019). Marital adjustment among couples. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 7(2).
- 9. Singh, D. Marital Adjustment and Stress among Working and Non-Working Married Women.
- 10. Tiwari, J., & Bisht, P. (2012). Marital adjustment of working and non-working women A comparative study. *Quest-The Journal of UGC-ASC Nainital*, 6, 504. https://doi.org/10.5958/j.0974-5041.6.3.041
- 11. Vaghela, K. J. (2014). A comparative study of marital adjustment among employed and unemployed married women of urban and rural area. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 2(1), 35-40.
- 12. Varma, V., & Talwar, I. (2006). Marital Adjustment Scale. *International Journal of Education and Psychological Research*, 4(1).
- 13. Yadava, A. (2018). Perceived social support as a determinant of marital adjustment in working and non-working mothers. *IAHRW International Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 6(2), 217-221.