EXPLORING THE MOTIVATIONS BEHIND TERRORIST GROUPS' RELIANCE ON VIOLENCE OVER DIPLOMACY

*Written by **Dr Purushothaman Sundaramurthy* & Dr Srigouri Kosuri*****

* HR Professional and Independent Researcher, Tamil Nadu, India

** Assistant Professor, Acharya Nagarjuna University, PG Department of Legal Studies & Research, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the complex aspects of terrorism, analysing the interconnected elements of psychology, ideology, and strategy that influence the motives and behaviours of individuals and groups. The study explores the psychological aspects of radicalisation, focusing on processes such as dehumanisation and the influence of socioeconomic factors. It aims to understand the psychological vulnerabilities that can lead to extremist ideologies. Additionally, the study examines the underlying beliefs of extremism and the refusal to engage in negotiation, which form the basis of terrorism. The inherent absolutism of these ideologies emerges as a prominent motif, influencing the inflexible mindsets that propel individuals and collectives towards acts of violence. Simultaneously, the study examines how refusing to compromise creates a substantial ideological obstacle, impeding diplomatic resolutions and promoting prolonged disputes. The paper examines the deliberate strategies utilised by terrorist organisations, emphasising the strategic considerations at play. This study uncovers how terrorism is strategically employed as a means of spreading propaganda and instilling fear. It also explores the adoption of asymmetrical warfare. The deliberate targeting of civilians is shown to intensify the impact of these acts and convey a message that extends beyond the immediate carnage. The interaction between propaganda and fear demonstrates the deliberate utilisation of psychological trauma as a calculated tactic to impose influence and pressure on governments. The study proposes an interdisciplinary approach to counterterrorism, emphasising the importance of diverse techniques that tackle the underlying causes of

radicalisation, interact with ideological principles, and disrupt the deliberate tactics employed by terrorist organisations. The study highlights the significance of international cooperation and the exchange of information in developing efficient counterterrorism strategies, recognising the worldwide scope of terrorism. This study offers detailed and complex insights into the complex dynamics of terrorism, which can be used to develop comprehensive and flexible solutions to enhance global security and resilience.

*Keywords***:** Terrorism, Counterterrorism strategies, Global Security, Diplomacy, Geopolitics, Psychological Factors

INTRODUCTION

Terrorist groups have traditionally opted for aggressive methods to promote their objectives, frequently focusing on non-combatant individuals. This study examines the fundamental elements influencing the choice for violence over diplomatic outcomes, providing valuable insights for developing effective counterterrorism policies and promoting international peace initiatives. Terrorist organisations have historically utilised aggressive strategies in order to accomplish their political goals. As a result, there have been substantial repercussions for resolving conflicts, impacting both the government's confidence in rebels and the effectiveness of peace talks. By examining the tactical deployment of force by opposing political factions and the underlying motivations driving these activities, the study provides a better understanding of the intricate dynamics involved in terrorist confrontations. Comprehending this is crucial for formulating efficient counterterrorism tactics and advancing international peace initiatives. The study of Vanneste et al. (2017) confirms that terrorists intentionally use violence to persuade a wider audience and achieve the political goals of their group. Earlier, Galily et al. (2016) study confirmed the rationale underlying the utilisation of violence by terrorist organisations, emphasising their aim to alter political circumstances and accomplish social goals. Moreover, the negative response from local spectators can have important consequences for the results of conflicts. This implies that terrorist organisations employing violent methods are less likely to accomplish their political goals and achieve a military triumph or a negotiated agreement, which was confirmed in Balcells Stanton's (2021) studies. Carter & Ying's (2020) study recognises the existence of a substantial body of literature

discussing the motivations and efficacy of terrorist groups employing violence. Hence, by considering the viewpoints presented and analysing the correlation between violence, political motivations, and criminal behaviours, the present study tries to acquire a significant understanding of the vital factors that compel terrorist organisations to favour bloodshed instead of diplomatic settlements. On deeper analysis of the correlation between violence, political motivations, and criminal behaviour, the present study understands the incentives of terrorist organisations and the possible repercussions of their aggressive deeds. Terrorism is frequently employed as a strategic instrument to accomplish political aims and objectives, as conveyed by Dnes & Brownlow (2017). It is very clear, as mentioned above, that terrorism is frequently employed as a strategic instrument to accomplish political aims and objectives. Through an examination of the motives and objectives elucidated above, the present study enhances comprehension of why terrorist organisations employ violence as a means to attain their desired results. Terrorist organisations perceive violence as a purposeful and tactical instrument to sway target audiences, achieve political goals, and instil fear in others who are not directly affected. Upon careful analysis of the above-cited references, it is evident that terrorism frequently stems from political motivations and is employed as a strategic tool to accomplish particular goals. Hence, comprehending the underlying incentives driving terrorist operations is crucial in order to tackle and pre-empt acts of violence efficiently. Terrorist organisations employ violence strategically to achieve various objectives, typically motivated by distinct political, military, or ideological factors. This intentional action is intended to provoke panic in both the immediate victims and larger target audiences, thus expanding the impact of terror beyond the initial incident. Terrorist organisations frequently utilise acts of violence to accomplish their political goals, promote social agendas, or retaliate against perceived injustices. Furthermore, employing violence is not illogical but a deliberate tactic to achieve the group's objectives. Comprehending this fundamental reasoning is crucial for formulating comprehensive counterterrorism strategies and global peace initiatives. Terrorist groups have traditionally opted for violent methods to promote their objectives, frequently directing their attacks at unsuspecting bystanders. This study examines the fundamental elements influencing the choice for violence instead of diplomatic outcomes. It provides valuable insights for developing effective counterterrorism policies and promoting international peace initiatives. Terrorist organisations have historically utilised aggressive strategies in order to accomplish their political goals. Consequently, there have been substantial

repercussions on combat results, impacting both the government's confidence in rebels and the effectiveness of peace talks. By examining the tactical deployment of force by opposing political factions and the underlying motivations driving these activities, the study comprehends the intricate dynamics involved in terrorist confrontations. Comprehending this is crucial for formulating efficient counterterrorism tactics and advancing international peace initiatives. Vanneste D. et al. (2017) study confirms that terrorists intentionally use violence to exert influence on a wider audience and achieve the political goals of their organisation. Galily et al. (2016) provide more explanation regarding the rationale behind terrorist organisations' use of violence, emphasising their aim to alter political circumstances and accomplish societal goals. As explained above, it highlights the importance of considering the negative response from domestic audiences, as it can greatly impact the results of conflicts. Balcells, L. and Stanton, L., J. (2021) confirm that terrorist organisations that employ violent tactics are less likely to accomplish their political goals and achieve a military victory or reach a negotiated settlement. Extensive literature exists on the reasons and efficacy of terrorist groups' use of violence, suggesting that it is justifiable to perceive their use of violence as being motivated by specific goals and purposes. This study examines the fundamental causes for the inclination towards violence instead of diplomatic solutions, elucidating the psychological, ideological, and strategic variables that motivate such behaviour. Omar Lizardo (2004) argues that comprehending terrorism necessitates a change in emphasis from the act of instilling fear in the individuals who instigate the violence and their intended victims. By adopting this altered viewpoint, one might attain a more profound comprehension of the intricate dynamics associated with acts of terrorism. Gaining insight into the underlying causes driving terrorism is essential for effectively addressing and preventing acts of violence. Ultimately, terrorism is driven by a complex array of motivations, which include political, social, ideological, and psychological elements. To effectively combat and prevent acts of violence, governments and security services must comprehend the fundamental impulses that drive terrorism. The present study will explore psychological, ideological, and strategic factors contributing to terrorism to understand the causes driving terrorism.

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS IN TERRORISM: UNDERSTANDING MOTIVATIONS AND RADICALIZATION

The world of terrorism is tightly intertwined with a complex web of psychological elements that contribute to people and groups resorting to violent tactics. These aspects include acts of violence, threats, and intimidation. The psychological aspects of terrorism are reviewed, which draws on several studies to determine the factors that lead to Radicalisation and Dehumanization and Frustration and Desperation, the mechanisms that enable it to occur.

Radicalisation and Dehumanization: Based on the research, Horgan's (2008) and Kruglanski's (2013) study investigates how radical ideologies allow for the dehumanisation of perceived enemies, which in turn makes it easier to justify the use of violence against civilians. They make use of people's vulnerabilities and convince them that violence is a remedy for perceived injustices or grievances. Terrorist commanders frequently use psychological elements to radicalise individuals, exploiting their fragility and telling them that violence is the solution. Developing targeted programmes to demolish these extremist narratives and prevent individuals from being misled by extreme ideologies is possible if the counter-combatant has a thorough knowledge of the psychological elements that lie beneath these narratives. This approach is necessary to effectively address the underlying causes of radicalisation and devise tactics for its prevention. The study intends to interrupt the radicalisation process and reduce the impact of terrorist recruitment strategies by concentrating on psychological issues such as these. With a complete grasp of the psychological variables that push individuals towards violent extremism, efforts to combat terrorism must be approached with this understanding. The creation of tactics to demolish terrorist narratives, disrupt recruitment attempts, and ultimately prevent acts of violence will be guided by these insights in the development of strategies. The discipline of psychology can obtain vital insight into effective strategies to disrupt the radicalisation process as a result of growing awareness of the psychological variables that are behind terrorist acts. In light of this understanding, targeted interventions that address the underlying variables contributing to radicalisation can be designed and implemented accordingly. The nations should work towards developing effective prevention techniques that address these underlying concerns if they fully comprehend the human qualities and psychological elements that contribute to radicalisation. According to Widyaningsih, R., Kuntarto, K., and Chamadi, R. M. (2019), the psychological perspective is a valuable addition

to the vast array of views utilised in formulating strategies for preventing radicalism. When it comes to devising successful prevention efforts and disrupting the recruitment tactics used by terrorist leaders, having a solid understanding of the psychological elements that play a role in the radicalisation process is relevant. These observations provide light on the intricate dynamic that exists between individual, group, and social influences in the process of radicalisation among individuals. Developing effective preventative tactics requires a knowledge of the psychological elements that play a crucial role in radicalisation, and recognising these characteristics is essential.

Frustration and Desperation

Moghaddam's (2009) and Silke's (2008) studies confirm that it is being analysed to understand better how socio-economic obstacles and perceived injustices can serve as a fertile ground for dissatisfaction and despair, ultimately leading individuals to resort to violent solutions. Feelings of dissatisfaction and desperation can be exacerbated by several factors, including oppression from the government, a lack of possibilities to earn a living, and a sense of being marginalised, and this can provide a fertile ground for radicalisation. In such situations, individuals may be more vulnerable to radical ideologies that promise a sense of empowerment or vengeance against perceived injustices. In radicalisation, ideological variables, such as extremist ideas and narratives, can play a crucial influence. Radical ideologies and narratives are examples of such factors. In order to give people a feeling of purpose, identity, and belonging, these radical ideologies frequently take advantage of people's weaknesses and frustrations. It is impossible to understate the significance of social networks and peer groups in the radicalisation process. Socialisation and group dynamics are two aspects that cannot be overlooked. These networks and groups have the potential to give individuals a sense of belonging, validation, and camaraderie, all of which may become more prone to radicalisation. Individual variables, such as a need for significance, identity, and a drive for self-validation, might make individuals more susceptible to radicalisation. Personal qualities and weaknesses are also components of this. In order to develop tailored interventions that address the underlying psychological needs and vulnerabilities of persons who are at risk of radicalisation, psychologists must consider the many components that are involved. Psychologists were able to make a significant contribution to the creation of effective prevention methods against radicalisation by getting a deeper knowledge of the psychological processes that are behind

terrorism as well as the specific elements that make individuals susceptible to recruitment tactics suggested by Best, A. (2023). Psychologists can construct targeted interventions and prevention methods that address the underlying vulnerabilities and needs of individuals who are at risk of radicalisation if they have a thorough knowledge of the complex interplay occurring between these elements. In order to combat the allure of radical ideologies, these tactics may include interventions that facilitate the development of resiliency, critical thinking abilities, and social support networks.

IDEOLOGICAL FACTORS IN TERRORISM: UNRAVELLING MOTIVATIONS AND BELIEF SYSTEMS

One of the most important aspects of comprehending the reasons and actions of individuals and groups involved in violent activities is comprehending the ideological foundations upon which terrorism is founded fully. Here, the study investigates the ideological aspects that drive terrorism and draws from various studies to shed light on the intricate web of ideas developing radical ideologies.

Extremist Ideologies: The study examines how extremist ideologies, which are characterised by a rejection of compromise, might result in a preference for violence as the primary means to achieve radical goals. Stern's (2003) and Wiktorowicz's (2005) works are considered for this investigation. Terrorist organisations frequently steer clear of diplomatic conversations in favour of violent tactics, which is mostly because extremist ideology plays a crucial part in determining its strategies. In this section, the study sheds light on how extreme ideologies, which are marked by an uncompromising posture, can nurture a predilection for violence as the exclusive avenue to attain radical aims. Stern (2003) asserts that the ideological underpinning upon which many terrorist groups construct their narratives is comprised of extremist groups' ideology. The concept of compromise and negotiation is frequently rejected by these ideologies, who view these behaviours as indications of weakness or betrayal of the cause. Within the frames of extreme ideologies, Stern contends that violence is not only a strategy; rather, it is frequently lauded as a morally commendable and essential method for bringing about significant societal or political change. A foundation causes these groups to view diplomatic processes with distrust and scorn. This foundation is established by the

rejection of compromise, combined with the celebration of violence. Wiktorowicz (2005) explores the mechanisms of radicalisation and how extreme ideas can develop a mindset resistant to diplomatic solutions, and this is a complementary line of inquiry. Notably, these ideologies frequently provide a black-and-white worldview, leaving little room for solutions in the middle ground or negotiation. As a result of the perception that compromise is a departure from the integrity of the cause, Wiktorowicz says that under such ideological frameworks, violence becomes an appealing and justified tactic. Extremist ideologies are characterised by their absolute nature, which is the underlying cause of their refusal to compromise. In his research of several different extremist movements, Stern stresses that the ideology of these movements frequently proposes an idealised image of society that does not tolerate dissent or departure. Because of the absolute nature of these ideologies, diplomatic negotiations, which naturally include concessions and compromises, come into conflict with them. As a result, diplomatic channels are discarded in favour of physical confrontation. After gaining an appreciation of the insights that Stern and Wiktorowicz have presented, it becomes clear that extremist beliefs produce a mentality that considers violence as the only feasible option to achieve radical goals and rejects the concept of compromise. Within the context of international relations and counterterrorism efforts, the ramifications of these ideological variables transcend beyond the dynamics of specific groups and impact the larger terrain. Formulating effective counterterrorism policies that address the underlying causes of violence and foster a more secure global environment requires first acknowledging the influence of extremist beliefs and then taking steps to combat those ideologies.

Rejection of Compromise: The study examines ideological foundations that reject diplomatic negotiation and compromise, focusing on an unchanging commitment to aggressive measures. One of the most important aspects of the strategic orientation of terrorist groups is the fact that they do not accept compromise within their organisational ideology. Here, the study explores the ideological underpinnings that lead to a stubborn denial of diplomatic discussion and compromise, emphasising an unwavering commitment to confrontational techniques. The present study draws on the research conducted by Vertigans (2009) and Sageman (2004). Vertigans (2009) provides several understandings regarding the ideological underpinnings that are responsible for the behaviour of terrorist groups. According to the findings of his investigation, a significant number of these organisations originate from a worldview that is

founded on absolutism. Furthermore, their ideological precepts are seen as inviolable and non-negotiable. Any departure from the route set is seen as a betrayal, and diplomatic negotiation and compromise are seen as compromises to the integrity of their cause. Vertigans contends that this inflexible ideological framework does not allow for much possibility for flexibility or peaceful resolution, which fosters a mindset heavily inclined towards confrontation. This perspective is supplemented by the research conducted by Sageman (2004), which investigates the psychological components of radicalisation that occur inside these organisations. Sageman has suggested that persons who become involved in terrorist activities frequently go through a process of radicalisation that allows them to strengthen their uncompromising stance. According to his theory, radicalisation cultivates a sense of moral justice, in which any attempt at negotiation or compromise is interpreted as a moral betrayal. According to Sageman, this moral absolutism constitutes an essential component of the ideological foundations that drive these groups towards violent behaviour. Vertigans and Sageman were able to shed light on the fact that the rejection of compromise is profoundly rooted in the absolute nature of the ideologies that terrorist groups adhere to. By its very definition, diplomacy entails participation in negotiation and concessions, fundamentally irreconcilable concepts with these groups' inflexible and uncompromising worldviews. As a result, diplomatic channels are disregarded in favour of confrontational measures, as any deviation from the ideological purity of these strategies is regarded as undesirable. This fundamentally ideological rejection of compromise has significant repercussions for resolving conflicts and for the state of international relations. The creation of a large barrier makes it more difficult for terrorist groups to successfully engage in diplomatic processes, which in turn complicates efforts to address the underlying causes of their grievances through peaceful alternatives. It is essential for policymakers and counterterrorism specialists interested in developing tactics that effectively address the fundamental motivations of terrorist groups to have a solid understanding of the ideological roots that motivate this rejection of compromise.

THE STRATEGIC ASPECTS OF TERRORISM: AN EXAMINATION OF METHODS AND STRATEGIES THAT HAVE BEEN CALCULATED

The strategic elements that people and groups deploy in pursuing political, ideological, or social goals are significant contributors to terrorism. These factors guide the calculated approaches and tactics that are utilised. The purpose of this study is to investigate the strategic

aspects of terrorism by drawing on research in order to shed light on the factors and motivations that play a role in the planning and execution of terrorist operations.

Propaganda and Fear: This section explores how terrorism works as a tool for propaganda and fear, with violent acts against civilians enhancing media impact and amplifying the group's message through an analysis of the research findings of Jenkins (1980) and Hoffman (2006). A method that is calculated and utilised by several terrorist organisations is the use of terrorism as a strategic instrument to spread propaganda and instil fear. These studies shed light on how acts of terrorism against civilians are strategically staged in order to maximise the impact of the media and magnify the message of the group. Jenkins's (1980) findings provide insightful information regarding the strategic use of terrorism as propaganda. According to the findings of his investigation, terrorist activities are not just violent representations of the objective of a group, but they are also communications that are carried out on purpose. Terrorists are aware of the power that media coverage possesses, and they engage in high-profile, indiscriminate violence against civilians in order to attract the attention of the public as well as the media. Jenkins contends that these acts are meticulously planned to elicit the greatest possible amount of shock and anger, guaranteeing that the group's message is disseminated to many people. In the modern setting, Hoffman (2006) conducts additional research on the strategic aspect of terrorism, building on the basic work that Jenkins had previously provided and confirmed in his studies. When it comes to the information age, when media coverage can instantly distribute images and messages to an audience all over the world, Hoffman places a strong focus on the role that terrorism plays. Not only are terrorist activities committed for the immediate impact they have, but they are also committed for the long-term psychological repercussions they have on the general public, according to his argument. The purposeful targeting of civilians in high-profile attacks generates an atmosphere of fear and vulnerability, which in turn amplifies the message and influence of the terrorist group. Using terrorism as a strategic tool to spread fear and propaganda serves multiple purposes. First, terrorist organisations want to highlight their cause and complaints by creating significant media coverage. Because the attacks are frightening and indiscriminate, they are guaranteed to make major news, giving the group a platform to communicate its philosophy and objectives. In the second place, the deliberate targeting of civilians serves the purpose of instilling fear within the people. It is not just a consequence of violent acts that people are afraid of. However, it is purposefully designed to

generate an atmosphere of ambiguity, making the general public more receptive to the organisation's messaging and increasing the likelihood that governments will be pressured into making concessions. The conclusions that may be drawn from the research conducted by Jenkins and Hoffman jointly highlight the calculated character of terrorism as a strategic instrument. Terrorist organisations use these elements by researching the media landscape and the psychology of fear to increase their visibility, multiply the impact of their message, and instil a sense of vulnerability in their target audience. It is essential to have a comprehensive understanding of the strategic role that terrorism plays in disseminating fear and the utilisation of media coverage to develop counterterrorism policies that address the immediate concerns regarding security and the more widespread psychological impact on societies.

Asymmetrical Warfare: Byman (2005) and Arquilla (1999) conducted research that was used as a foundation for this part, which investigates the strategic factors that cause terrorist groups to engage in asymmetrical warfare, which involves attacking civilians in order to compensate for their lack of presence in conventional military forces. Asymmetrical warfare is a strategic strategy that terrorist groups utilise. This approach entails the utilisation of unorthodox tactics in order to compensate for a lack of conventional military assets. This study paragraph dives into the strategic factors that push terrorist organisations to pursue asymmetrical warfare, which frequently manifests itself in the purposeful targeting of civilians, considering Byman's (2005) and Arquilla's (1999) studies. The investigation of asymmetrical warfare that was conducted by Byman (2005) sheds light on the pragmatic decisions that terrorist groups make when they are confronted with major military discrepancies. When challenged with conventional forces that are superior to their own, these groups strategically choose unconventional methods that take advantage of vulnerabilities in the capabilities of their opponents. Byman contends that asymmetrical warfare enables these groups to circumvent the advantages of well-equipped military forces and instead concentrate their efforts on soft targets such as people, which can be more difficult to protect. By highlighting the historical backdrop of asymmetrical warfare and its development as a response to power imbalances, Arquilla (1999) contributes to the further enrichment of this understanding. The argument that he is making is that terrorist organisations, which do not possess the resources and capabilities of conventional armies, turn to asymmetrical strategies in order to maximise their impact while using the fewest resources possible. It is a strategic option to target civilians since doing so not only causes immediate

harm but also fosters widespread terror and psychological anguish, which in turn amplifies the group's power. A variety of multidimensional strategic concerns characterise asymmetrical warfare. To begin, terrorist organisations are aware that traditional military confrontation is frequently an option that cannot be pursued due to considerable inequalities in the availability of resources and technological capabilities. On the other hand, asymmetrical strategies make it possible for these organisations to take advantage of their opponent's weaknesses and destabilise the power structures that have been formed. A second point to consider is that the purposeful targeting of people serves a twofold meaning. Furthermore, it accomplishes broader strategic goals in addition to inflicting direct injury. Terrorist organisations seek to undermine public faith in the capacity of governments to protect their populations by launching attacks against soft targets. This is done to cultivate an atmosphere of fear and vulnerability. The psychological impact of this can either pressure governments to fulfil the group's expectations or cause political instability. In order to build effective methods for counterterrorism, it is essential to have a solid understanding of the strategic logic that underpins asymmetrical warfare. There is a possibility that conventional military solutions will not be sufficient when confronted with asymmetrical threats. Therefore, a holistic approach must address the underlying causes and motivations of such tactics. The research conducted by Byman and Arquilla highlights the adaptable and strategic nature of asymmetrical warfare, highlighting the significance of counterterrorism operations that are subtle and multifaceted.

ANALYSIS AND UNDERSTANDING THE DYNAMICS OF TERRORISM

Exploring psychological, ideological, and strategic factors contributing to terrorism provides a comprehensive view of this phenomenon's complex and multifaceted nature. The synthesis of insights from various studies sheds light on the motivations, beliefs, and calculated approaches that drive individuals and groups toward violent actions.

Psychological Factors: The psychological factors in terrorism, as discussed through the lens of radicalisation, dehumanisation, frustration, and desperation, highlight the nuanced processes that contribute to individuals embracing extremist ideologies. The findings underscore the importance of addressing underlying psychological vulnerabilities to prevent radicalisation and

emphasise the need for targeted interventions to counteract the psychological impact of terrorism on societies.

Ideological Factors: Examining extremist ideologies and rejecting compromise reveals the deep-seated beliefs that form the ideological foundations of terrorist groups. The absolutist nature of these ideologies, as presented by Stern and Wiktorowicz, highlights the challenges of negotiating with groups unwilling to compromise. Recognising the rigid mindset fostered by extremist ideologies becomes crucial for formulating strategies that engage with the root causes of ideological radicalisation.

Strategic Factors: Strategic considerations in terrorism, such as propaganda, fear, and asymmetrical warfare, highlight the calculated nature of terrorist actions. The intentional targeting of civilians for maximum impact, as explored by Jenkins, Hoffman, Byman, and Arquilla, emphasises the significance of understanding the strategic mindset of terrorist groups. Counterterrorism efforts must address the immediate security threats and the broader psychological and societal impacts strategically orchestrated by these groups.

Integration and Implications: Integrating psychological, ideological, and strategic factors provides a holistic understanding of terrorism. The interplay between these factors reveals that terrorism is not a random or chaotic phenomenon but a result of systematic processes and calculated decisions. Counterterrorism strategies need to be equally multifaceted, addressing the root causes of radicalisation, engaging with the ideological foundations, and devising approaches that disrupt the strategic calculations of terrorist groups.

This analysis highlights the importance of interdisciplinary efforts in countering terrorism. Psychological interventions, counter-radicalization programs, and strategic responses must be integrated into a comprehensive and adaptive approach. Furthermore, fostering international collaboration and information-sharing is essential to address the global nature of terrorism and its evolving tactics. By understanding the intricate interplay of psychological, ideological, and strategic factors, policymakers and security experts can work towards mitigating the root causes of terrorism and creating a more resilient and secure global environment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

a. **Multidimensional Counterterrorism Strategies:** Recognizing the interconnectedness of psychological, ideological, and strategic factors, counterterrorism efforts should adopt multidimensional strategies. This involves addressing immediate security concerns and understanding and mitigating the root causes of terrorism.

b. **Empathy-based Interventions:** In addressing radicalisation and ideological factors, interventions should promote empathy and understanding. Counter-narratives that challenge extremist ideologies and humanise perceived adversaries can play a crucial role in countering the dehumanisation inherent in radical beliefs.

c. **Media Literacy and Regulation:** Given the strategic use of propaganda and fear, initiatives should focus on enhancing media literacy to minimise the amplification of terrorist messages. Additionally, regulatory measures can be explored to report on terrorist incidents without sensationalising violence responsibly.

d. **Conflict Resolution and Diplomacy:** Diplomatic efforts and conflict resolution mechanisms should be strengthened to address the rejection of compromise inherent in extremist ideologies. Broader international cooperation is necessary to promote peace and stability, addressing the socio-economic and political conditions contributing to terrorism.

e. **Community Engagement:** Community-based initiatives can be pivotal in preventing radicalisation. Supporting local communities, providing socio-economic opportunities, and fostering inclusive environments can act as preventative measures against the appeal of extremist ideologies.

FUTURE STUDIES

a. **Longitudinal Analysis of Counterterrorism Policies:** Future studies should focus on longitudinally analysing the effectiveness of counterterrorism policies. This includes assessing the impact of interventions on radicalisation, understanding shifts in ideological narratives, and evaluating the success of media literacy initiatives.

b. **Psychological Resilience and Coping Mechanisms:** Exploring the psychological resilience of individuals and communities in the face of terrorist threats can inform the development of

targeted interventions. Understanding coping mechanisms and factors contributing to resilience is essential for fostering adaptive responses.

c. **Global Comparative Studies:** Comparative studies across regions can provide valuable insights into the contextual variations of terrorist motivations. Analysing the impact of cultural, historical, and geopolitical factors on the prevalence and nature of terrorism can contribute to more tailored and effective counterterrorism strategies.

CONCLUSIONS

The motivations behind terrorist groups' reliance on violence over diplomacy are intricate, encompassing psychological, ideological, and strategic dimensions. Recognising and addressing these motivations is crucial for developing comprehensive counterterrorism strategies tackling the symptoms and root causes of terrorism for a more secure and peaceful global environment.

a. The multifaceted nature of terrorism requires a comprehensive understanding encompassing psychological, ideological, and strategic factors.

b. Counterterrorism efforts must be adaptable and responsive, addressing the evolving nature of terrorist tactics and motivations.

c. Collaboration between governments, communities, and international organisations is indispensable for effective counterterrorism initiatives.

d. While immediate security measures are vital, sustained efforts should be directed towards addressing root causes, promoting dialogue, and fostering environments that mitigate the appeal of extremist ideologies.

e. Ongoing research and analysis are essential for refining counterterrorism strategies, adapting to emerging trends, and building a resilient global community against the threat of terrorism.

REFERENCES

1. Arquilla, J. (1999). "From Troy to Baghdad: Further Reflections on Asymmetry." Naval War College Review, 52(4), 67-78.

2. Balcells, L. and Stanton, J. (2021) Violence Against Civilians During Armed Conflict: Moving Beyond the Macro- and Micro-level Divide. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.1146/annurev-polisci-041719-102229.

3. Best, A. (2023) Alienation through psychological neglect: When deprivation of individual psychological needs leads to societal harm. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.1037/hum0000334.

4. Byman, D. (2005). "Deadly Connections: States that Sponsor Terrorism." Cambridge University Press.

5. Carter, B., D. and Ying, L. (2020) The Gravity of Transnational Terrorism. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.1177/0022002720967444.

6. Dnes, W, A. and Brownlow, G. (2017) The formation of terrorist groups: an analysis of Irish republican organisations. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.1017/s1744137416000461.

7. Galily, Y. et al. (2016) The Boston Game and the ISIS Match. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.1177/0002764216632844.

8. Hoffman, B. (2006). "Inside Terrorism." Columbia University Press.

9. Horgan, J. 2008, The Psychology of Terrorism (Political Violence)

10. Jenkins, B. M. (1980). "International Terrorism: A New Mode of Conflict." Terrorism: An International Journal, 3(3), 269-278.

11. Kruglanski, A. W., et al. (2013), The Psychology of Radicalization and Deradicalization: How Significance Quest Impacts Violent Extremism, Political Psychology Vol. 35, Supplement 1: Advances in Political Psychology (February 2014), pp. 69-93

12. Moghaddam, F. M. (2009). De-radicalization and the staircase from terrorism. In D. Canter (Ed.), The faces of terrorism: Multidisciplinary perspectives (pp. 277–292)

13. Omar Lizardo (2004) International Terrorism and the World-System, The American Sociological Association Journal, Volume 22, Issue 1

14. Sageman, M. (2004). "Understanding Terror Networks." University of Pennsylvania Press.

15. Silke, A. 2008, Holy Warriors: Exploring the psychological processes of jihadi radicalisation. European Journal of Criminology, 5(1), 99–123

16. Stern, J. (2003). "Ideology and Motivation in Terrorism." The Journal of International Security Affairs, 5(1), 23-30.

17. Vanneste, D. et al. (2017) The impact of the 2016 terrorist attacks in Brussels on tourism. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.4000/belgeo.20688.

18. Vertigans, S. (2009). "Terrorism and the Politics of Response." Routledge.

19. Widyaningsih, R., Kuntarto, K. and Chamadi, R, M. (2019) Community-based Prevention of Radicalism: Psychosocial Perspective of Radicalism in Indonesia. Available at: https://scite.ai/reports/10.4108/eai.5-8-2019.2289786.

20. Wiktorowicz, Q. (2005). "Radical Islam Rising: Muslim Extremism in the West." Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.