

EXAMINING ANTI-CORRUPTION INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISMS IN NIGERIA AND THE SIEGE AGAINST PUBLIC SECTOR CORRUPTION

By *Olusola Adesina Akinsanya*

PhD Candidate, Babcock University, School of Law & Security Studies, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The menace of public sector corruption has become much like a forest fire during harmattan. It has become too large to be ignored and yet it has defied attempts at curtailing it. Public sector corruption in Nigeria is a major problem that has been plaguing the country for decades. It has caused immense damage to the economy, public trust, and the overall well-being of the citizens. This article through a doctrinal and case studies approach, examined anti-corruption institutional mechanisms in Nigeria and the siege against the public and oil sector corruption. It argued that while public sector corruption can degrade institutions and erode public trust in governmental mechanisms, laws and policies when properly implemented will boost the efforts against public sector corruption. It concluded that a deficient legal framework plays a pivotal role in fostering public sector corruption through various avenues.

Keywords: Legal Framework, Public Sector Corruption, Nigeria, Mechanisms

PUBLIC SECTOR CORRUPTION AND IMPACTS

The menace of public sector corruption has become much like a forest fire during harmattan. It has become too large to be ignored and yet it has defied attempts at curtailing it. Public sector corruption in Nigeria is a major problem that has been plaguing the country for decades. It has caused immense damage to the economy, public trust, and the overall well-being of the citizens. One of the most notable cases of public sector corruption was that of Alamiyeseigha. The Alamiyeseigha case was a high-profile corruption case in Nigeria involving former Bayelsa State Governor, Diepreye Solomon Peter Alamiyeseigha. In 2005, Alamiyeseigha was arrested in London on charges of money laundering and embezzlement of public funds. The case against Alamiyeseigha was based on allegations that he had siphoned off millions of dollars in public funds during his tenure as governor.ⁱ

Alamiyeseigha was subsequently extradited to Nigeria, where he was charged with embezzlement, money laundering, and fraud. He was accused of using his position as governor to acquire properties and assets worth millions of dollars in Nigeria and abroad, which were allegedly purchased with proceeds from the embezzlement of public funds. In 2007, Alamiyeseigha was found guilty of money laundering and embezzlement and was sentenced to six months imprisonment and ordered to forfeit some of his assets. However, he was later granted amnesty by the Nigerian government and his sentence was commuted to time served.ⁱⁱ Another instance was that of the James Ibori case. This was a high-profile corruption case too in Nigeria involving former Delta State Governor, James Onanefe Ibori. The case is widely seen as a significant instance of public sector corruption in Nigeria and illustrates several key issues related to corruption in the country.

One of the main ways the James Ibori case illustrates public sector corruption in Nigeria was through the alleged embezzlement of public funds. Ibori was accused of using his position as governor to siphon off millions of dollars in public funds for personal gain. This alleged embezzlement of public funds is a common form of public sector corruption in Nigeria, and it highlights the need for effective oversight and accountability mechanisms to prevent and combat corruption in the public sector.

Another way the James Ibori case illustrates public sector corruption in Nigeria was through the alleged use of shell companies and offshore accounts to launder money. Ibori was accused

of using these methods to conceal the proceeds of his alleged corruption and to evade prosecution. Ibori was initially acquitted of corruption charges in Nigeria, but later convicted in the UK after a long extradition process.ⁱⁱⁱ This highlights the need for an independent and effective judicial system in Nigeria, which can effectively investigate and prosecute cases of corruption.

The James Ibori case is a high-profile corruption case in Nigeria that illustrates several key issues related to public sector corruption in the country, including the embezzlement of public funds, money laundering, and corruption within the judicial system. The case highlights the need for effective oversight and accountability mechanisms, laws and regulations to combat money laundering, and an independent and effective judicial system in Nigeria to effectively investigate and prosecute cases of corruption. Public sector corruption in Nigeria has had significant negative impacts on the country in recent years.

Public sector corruption can erode citizens' trust in government institutions and officials, which can lead to a lack of participation in the democratic process, and a lack of support for government policies and initiatives.^{iv} Public sector corruption in Nigeria has led to a lack of trust in government for several reasons. Firstly, when public officials are corrupt, they are more likely to make decisions that benefit themselves and their cronies, rather than the broader public. This has led to a perception among citizens that the government is not working for their best interests, which has eroded trust in the government.

Secondly, corruption has led to a lack of transparency in government operations, making it difficult for citizens to hold officials accountable for their actions. This lack of transparency has further reinforced the perception that the government is not working for the public good and has led to a lack of trust in the government. Furthermore, when resources are misallocated through corruption, citizens see that the government is not providing the basic services that they need to live a decent life, which has also led to public distrust in the government. Overall, public sector corruption has bred a lack of trust in government in Nigeria by creating a perception that the government is not working for the public good, lack of transparency and making citizens feel that the government is not providing for their basic needs.

Public sector corruption has impacted the misallocation of resources and the failure to provide basic services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure, which can negatively impact

the lives of citizens, particularly those who are already marginalized in Nigeria.^v Public sector corruption in Nigeria has had a significant impact on the misallocation of resources. It has led to the diversion of public funds away from important infrastructure and social services, such as healthcare and education, and towards the personal gain of corrupt officials. This has resulted in a lack of investment in key areas that could improve the lives of citizens and drive economic growth. Public sector corruption has also led to the overpayment for goods and services, which has further drained resources away from important public projects. This misallocation of resources has contributed to Nigeria's overall economic and social challenges and has hindered the country's development.

Public sector corruption has led to human rights violations in Nigeria. For instance, corruption in the allocation of public resources have led to discrimination against certain groups, such as ethnic and religious minorities. Public sector corruption in Nigeria has led to human rights violations in a number of ways. One of the most significant ways is through the mismanagement and diversion of funds intended for social services such as healthcare, education, and housing. This has resulted in inadequate access to these services for many citizens, particularly those in marginalized and low-income communities. Public sector corruption has also led to a lack of accountability for security forces, which has allowed them to engage in human rights abuses such as extrajudicial killings, torture, and arbitrary detention.

The impact on the rule of law is immense, as it also weakens it, this undermines the protection of citizens' rights and leads to the impunity of those who commit human rights abuses.^{vi} This has led to a lack of trust in the government and security forces, and has created an environment in which human rights violations are more likely to occur. Additionally, corruption also undermines the ability of citizens to hold government officials and security forces accountable for their actions, which has further exacerbated the human rights situation in the country.^{vii}

Public sector corruption has led to the erosion of security in Nigeria. Funds meant for security have been misused by corrupt officials and corrupt officials have used their positions to undermine security. Public sector corruption has had a significant negative impact on security in Nigeria.^{viii} Corruption has led to the mismanagement of resources and a lack of accountability, which has made it difficult for the government to effectively address security issues such as terrorism, organized crime, and ethnic and religious violence. Additionally, corruption has also led to the diversion of funds intended for security operations, weakening

the ability of security forces to respond to these threats. This has led to a lack of trust in the government and security forces, which has further exacerbated the security situation in the country.

The efforts at breaking the tide of public sector corruption followed from the empowering federal legislation that translated into a network of institutions designed to combat corruption within the public sector in Nigeria. Institutional mechanisms, such as laws, policies, and agencies, play a critical role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. These mechanisms can help to create a culture of transparency, accountability, and integrity in the public sector, which is essential for preventing and combatting corruption.

One of the key institutional mechanisms that can help fight public sector corruption in Nigeria is the establishment of effective oversight agencies. Agencies such as the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) and the Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB) are responsible for investigating and prosecuting cases of corruption in the public sector.^{ix} By investigating and prosecuting corrupt officials, these agencies were designed to help to hold officials accountable for their actions and to create a culture of accountability in the public sector.

The implementation of anti-corruption education and awareness campaigns can also help to fight public sector corruption in Nigeria. By educating citizens about the negative impacts of corruption and how to report it, these campaigns can help to create a culture of integrity and to empower citizens to hold officials accountable for their actions.

Furthermore, involving civil society organizations in the fight against corruption can also help to fight public sector corruption in Nigeria. Civil society organizations can serve as watchdogs, monitoring government activities and holding officials accountable for their actions. They can also serve as advocates, raising awareness about corruption and pushing for changes in laws and policies.

Institutional mechanisms, such as laws, policies, and agencies, play a critical role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. By establishing effective oversight agencies, implementing laws and policies to promote transparency and accountability, implementing anti-corruption education and awareness campaigns, and involving civil society organizations,

these mechanisms can help to create a culture of transparency, accountability, and integrity in the public sector, which is essential for preventing and combatting corruption.

THE EFCC AND THE FIGHT AGAINST PUBLIC SECTOR CORRUPTION IN NIGERIA

The Economic and Financial Crimes Commission is an institution that was set-up to combat corruption in Nigeria. The Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) is a Nigerian law enforcement agency that was established in 2003 to combat economic and financial crimes in Nigeria. The EFCC is responsible for investigating, prosecuting, and preventing economic and financial crimes, such as money laundering, cybercrime, and fraud. The EFCC has been successful in its mission to reduce economic and financial crimes in Nigeria, but there is still much work to be done.^x With the establishment of the EFCC, the rhetorical discussions about combating corruption were significantly replaced with genuine action.^{xi} The establishment of the National Drug Law Enforcement Agency in 1989, the Money Laundering Act of 1995, the Advanced Fee Fraud and Related Offences Act of 1995, the Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act of 1991, the Miscellaneous Offences Act of 1985, and the Foreign Exchange Miscellaneous Offences Act of 1995 are just a few examples of past efforts by previous governments to provide the legal frameworks to combat corruption. These noble and admirable initiatives were either stifled by insufficient enabling laws and regulations or abandoned because it appeared that stakeholders were not committed to combating corruption in high places.^{xii}

By the late 1990s, governments of wealthier nations and international organizations had intensified pressure on developing nations to fight and eradicate corruption, which had spread widely and was a hindrance to economic progress. President Olusegun Obasanjo adopted a multifaceted strategy to combat corruption in order to restore Nigeria's reputation by establishing the Anti-Corruption Commission, the Due Process Office in the Presidency, the Corrupt Practices and Related Offences Act 2000, and the EFCC 2003 in response to these failed attempts and external pressure.^{xiii}

Since its establishment, the EFCC has investigated and prosecuted numerous high-profile cases, including those involving former Nigerian leaders. The EFCC has also been successful in recovering billions of dollars in stolen funds from corrupt officials. Additionally, the EFCC has implemented several initiatives to educate the public about economic and financial crimes and how to prevent them. These initiatives have been successful in raising awareness about the issue and helping to reduce economic and financial crimes in Nigeria.^{xiv}

The Functions of the EFCC

The EFCC was established to handle the following issues.^{xv} Firstly, they are charged with the proper administration and enforcement of the provision of the EFCC Act.

(b) the investigation of all financial crimes, such as computer credit card fraud, advance fee fraud, money laundering, counterfeiting, unlawful charge transfers, fraud on the futures market, fraudulent encashment of negotiable instruments, etc.;

d) the adoption of methods to improve, trace, freeze, confiscate or seize proceeds derived from terrorist activities, economic and financial crimes related offenses, or the properties whose value corresponds to such proceeds; c) the coordination and enforcement of all economic and financial crimes laws, as well as enforcement duties delegated to any other person or authority;

(e) adopting actions to stop people from committing financial and economic crimes;

(f) the implementation of measures that include coordinated precautionary and regulatory actions, the initiation and maintenance of investigatory and control methods on the prevention of economic and financial-related crimes; (g) the enablement of swift exchange of scientific and technical information and the conduct of joint exercises oriented toward the elimination of economic and financial crimes; and (h) the examination and investigation of all reported cases of economic and financial crimes.

(i) the assessment of financial loss and other losses by the government, private parties, or organizations;

(j) cooperating with government organizations both inside and outside of Nigeria to perform tasks that are entirely or partially comparable to those of the Commission in regards to:

(i) the identification, determination, and movement of individuals suspected of being involved in economic and financial crimes, (ii) the mobilization of funds raised or properties sourced from the commission of economic and financial crimes, (iii) the exchange of personnel or information, and (iv) the whereabouts and activities of those individuals.

(v) maintaining data, statistics, records, and reports on individuals, organizations, proceeds, properties, documents, or other goods or assets involved in economic and financial crimes; and (vi) conducting research and related projects to determine the expression, range, scale, and impacts of economic and financial crimes and advising the government on proper intervention methods to counteract same.

(k) handling issues relating to mutual legal or other assistance, extradition, deportation, or other procedures between Nigeria and taking charge of, supervising, controlling, and coordinating all duties, functions, and actions relating to the current investigation and prosecution of all offenses connected with or relating to economic and financial crimes; (l) collecting all reports relating to suspicious financial transactions, analyzing them, and disseminating them to all pertinent government agencies; (m) taking charge of, supervising, controlling, and coordinating all current economic and financial crime-related investigations and prosecutions; and (n) coordinating all existing economic and

The EFCC is headed by a chairman appointed by the President of Nigeria, and it is composed of a team of investigators, prosecutors, and other personnel. The EFCC has been relatively successful in its mission to reduce economic and financial crimes in Nigeria. It has investigated and prosecuted numerous high-profile cases, including those involving former Nigerian leaders.^{xvi}

The Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB)

The Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB) is an agency in Nigeria responsible for enforcing the Code of Conduct for public officials and ensuring that they comply with the ethical standards set out in the Nigerian constitution. The CCB plays an important role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. One of the main functions of the CCB is to ensure that public officials disclose their assets and income in order to prevent conflicts of interest and to prevent officials from using their positions for personal gain.

This is done through the process of declaration of assets, which is a requirement for all public officials in Nigeria. By requiring officials to disclose their assets and income, the CCB helps to ensure that officials are not using their positions for personal gain and that they are not engaging in corrupt practices. Another function of the CCB is to investigate and prosecute public officials who are found to have violated the Code of Conduct. The CCB has the power to investigate and prosecute officials who are found to have engaged in corrupt practices, including embezzlement, bribery, and abuse of office.^{xvii} By investigating and prosecuting corrupt officials, the CCB helps to hold officials accountable for their actions and to create a culture of accountability in the public sector. The CCB also plays an important role in promoting transparency and accountability in the public sector. The CCB works to ensure that government transactions are transparent and that officials are held accountable for their actions. This helps to create a culture of transparency and accountability in the public sector, which is essential for preventing and combating corruption.

The Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB) plays an important role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. Through its functions of ensuring that public officials disclose their assets and income, investigating and prosecuting corrupt officials, and promoting transparency and accountability, the CCB helps to ensure that officials are held accountable for their actions and that government transactions are transparent. To be more effective, the CCB needs to be independent and well-resourced, and to engage civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC)

The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) is a Nigerian agency responsible for investigating and prosecuting cases of corruption in the public sector. The ICPC plays a crucial role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. One of the main functions of the ICPC is to investigate and prosecute cases of corruption in the public sector. The ICPC has the mandate to investigate and prosecute cases of corruption involving public officials, including embezzlement, bribery, and abuse of office. By investigating and prosecuting corrupt officials, the ICPC helps to hold officials accountable for their actions and to create a culture of accountability in the public sector.^{xviii} Another function of the ICPC is to promote transparency and accountability in the public sector. The ICPC works to ensure that government transactions are transparent and that officials are held accountable

for their actions. This helps to create a culture of transparency and accountability in the public sector, which is essential for preventing and combating corruption.^{xix}

The ICPC also plays an important role in preventing corruption by promoting anti-corruption education and awareness. The ICPC runs public awareness campaigns to educate citizens about corruption and how to report it, and also engages with the private sector and civil society organizations to promote anti-corruption practices. Additionally, the ICPC also works with other anti-corruption agencies, such as the Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB), to ensure that public officials are held accountable for their actions. The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) is an important agency in Nigeria responsible for investigating and prosecuting cases of corruption in the public sector. However, despite its mandate, the ICPC faces several challenges in its efforts to combat public sector corruption in Nigeria.^{xx}

One of the main challenges that the ICPC faces is a lack of political will to combat corruption. Some politicians in Nigeria have been known to engage in corrupt practices themselves, and may not be willing to support efforts to combat corruption. This lack of political will can create a culture in which corruption is seen as acceptable, and it can be difficult for the ICPC to effectively investigate and prosecute corrupt officials.

Another challenge that the ICPC faces is a lack of resources and capacity. The ICPC may not have the necessary resources and capacity to effectively investigate and prosecute cases of corruption, which can make it difficult to hold corrupt officials accountable. This lack of resources and capacity can also hinder the ICPC's ability to promote transparency and accountability in the public sector, and to prevent corruption through education and awareness campaigns.^{xxi}

The ICPC faces a significant challenge of corruption within the agency itself. Some of the ICPC's staffs can be corrupt and may not be willing to investigate and prosecute their colleagues or the politicians they are close to. This can make it difficult to hold corrupt officials accountable. Furthermore, the ICPC faces challenges of lack of independence, as it can be influenced by the political class and the executive, which can make it difficult for the ICPC to investigate and prosecute cases of corruption without fear or favor.

Another challenge that the ICPC faces is a lack of public trust and support. The ICPC's ability to effectively combat corruption is dependent on the public's willingness to report corruption and to support its efforts. However, due to a lack of public trust in government institutions, many citizens may not report corruption or support the ICPC's efforts. The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) faces several challenges in its efforts to combat public sector corruption in Nigeria

The ICPC and CCB have a Memorandum of Understanding to share information and coordinate their efforts in the fight against corruption. In conclusion, the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) plays a crucial role in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. Through its functions of investigating and prosecuting cases of corruption, promoting transparency and accountability, preventing corruption through education and awareness campaigns, and working with other anti-corruption agencies, the ICPC helps to ensure that officials are held accountable for their actions and that government transactions are transparent. To be more effective, the ICPC needs to be independent and well-resourced, and to engage civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.^{xxii}

CHALLENGES IN THE BATTLE AGAINST PUBLIC SECTOR CORRUPTION IN NIGERIA

When deciding whether to invest in a country, foreign investors could take corruption into account. Due to the fact that corruption ranks above other factors like labor, human rights, and environmental concerns as a major investment disincentive, it has an effect on the socioeconomic development of any nation. Nigeria has huge oil reserves, and with the transition to a democratic dispensation, there were high hopes that the nation would prosper, fight corruption, develop its institutions, and draw in foreign investors. In contrast to these hopes, the nation has continued to experience widespread corruption with little effort being made to stop it.^{xxiii}

Lack of political will

Some politicians in Nigeria have been known to engage in corrupt practices and may not be willing to enact policies or support efforts to combat corruption. In Nigeria, the lack of political will to combat corruption is a major challenge in the fight against public sector corruption. Political will refers to the readiness of government officials and politicians to take action and make the necessary changes to address a problem.^{xxiv} In the case of public sector corruption, political will is essential for enacting policies and implementing measures to combat the problem. Unfortunately, in Nigeria, political will to address corruption is often lacking.^{xxv} One of the reasons for the lack of political will is the fact that many politicians in Nigeria have been known to engage in corrupt practices themselves. These politicians may not be willing to support efforts to combat corruption as they may fear being held accountable for their own actions. Corruption is often seen as a means to gain and maintain political power, so politicians may not be willing to give up this power by combating corruption.

Another reason for the lack of political will is the fact that many government officials and politicians in Nigeria are not held accountable for their actions. The weak institutions and lack of transparency in Nigeria make it difficult to detect and prevent corruption, and even when corrupt practices are uncovered, those responsible are often not held accountable. This lack of accountability can create a culture in which corruption is seen as acceptable and not something that needs to be addressed.

The societal attitude towards corruption also contributes to the lack of political will to combat it. In Nigeria, corruption is often seen as a normal part of doing business and there is a lack of public outrage or pressure to combat it.^{xxvi} This can lead to a lack of political will to take action as politicians may not see it as a priority if the public is not demanding change. Furthermore, the economic factors like poverty and unemployment in Nigeria can make people more susceptible to corruption. High levels of poverty and unemployment can make people more willing to engage in corrupt practices in order to survive.^{xxvii} This can create an environment in which corruption is seen as acceptable, and politicians may not feel the need to address the issue. In conclusion, the lack of political will in Nigeria is a major challenge in the fight against public sector corruption. This lack of will is due to a variety of factors, including the involvement of politicians in corrupt practices, weak institutions, societal attitudes, and

economic factors. Addressing these issues is essential for creating a culture in which corruption is not tolerated and for implementing effective measures to combat corruption in Nigeria.

Weak institutions

Nigeria's institutions, such as the judiciary and law enforcement agencies, are often weak and susceptible to corruption themselves, making it difficult to hold corrupt officials accountable. Weak institutions in Nigeria are a significant challenge in the fight against public sector corruption.^{xxviii} Institutions, such as the judiciary, law enforcement agencies, and government agencies, are essential for holding corrupt officials accountable and implementing measures to combat corruption. Unfortunately, in Nigeria, these institutions are often weak and susceptible to corruption themselves, making it difficult to address the problem.

One of the main reasons for weak institutions in Nigeria is the lack of capacity and resources. The government agencies, law enforcement agencies and the judiciary are often underfunded and understaffed, which makes it difficult for them to carry out their functions effectively. This can lead to a lack of accountability, as officials may not have the resources to investigate and prosecute corrupt practices. The weak institutions often lack the necessary expertise and training to effectively combat corruption, which further exacerbates the problem. Another reason for weak institutions in Nigeria is the lack of independence and autonomy. In Nigeria, institutions are often controlled by politicians and government officials, which can lead to political interference in their operations. This can create a culture in which corruption is tolerated and not effectively addressed. Additionally, the weak institutions in Nigeria are often not transparent and lack transparency and accountability, which makes it difficult to detect and prevent corruption.

The weak institutions in Nigeria also contribute to the general lack of trust in the government and its agencies.^{xxix} When institutions are weak and corrupt, citizens lose faith in the government's ability to provide basic services, such as justice and security, and to protect their rights. This can lead to a sense of cynicism and apathy among citizens, and make them more susceptible to corruption, as they may see it as the only way to get things done.

Weak institutions in Nigeria are a major challenge in the fight against public sector corruption. This weakness is due to a variety of factors, including lack of capacity and resources, lack of independence and autonomy, lack of transparency and accountability, and lack of trust in the

government.^{xxx} Addressing these issues is essential for creating strong and effective institutions that can effectively combat corruption in Nigeria. This can be achieved through increasing transparency, accountability, and independence of the institutions, as well as increasing their capacity and resources. Additionally, the government should take steps to promote transparency and accountability, and involve civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

Societal attitudes:

Societal attitudes in Nigeria can contribute to public sector corruption by normalizing and tolerating corrupt behavior. This can create a culture in which corruption is seen as an acceptable way of doing business, and there is little public outrage or pressure to combat it.^{xxxix} One of the main ways societal attitudes encourage public sector corruption in Nigeria is by accepting bribes and other forms of corruption as a way to get things done. This can create a culture in which citizens view corruption as a necessary evil, and feel that they have to participate in corrupt practices to access basic services or to get ahead. This attitude can be widespread and can make it difficult to change.

Another way societal attitudes contribute to corruption is by not holding officials and politicians accountable for their actions. In some cases, the public may be aware of corrupt practices but may not speak out against them or demand accountability.^{xxxix} This can create a sense of impunity among officials and politicians, which can make it difficult to combat corruption. Societal attitudes also contribute to corruption by not valuing transparency and accountability. The lack of transparency and accountability in government transactions can make it difficult to detect and prevent corruption. When citizens do not value transparency and accountability, they may be less likely to demand it from their leaders. Lastly, societal attitudes can also contribute to corruption by accepting corruption as a means of survival. High levels of poverty and unemployment in Nigeria can make people more susceptible to corruption, as they may be more willing to engage in corrupt practices in order to survive.

Societal attitudes in Nigeria can contribute to public sector corruption by normalizing and tolerating corrupt behavior, accepting bribes and other forms of corruption as a way to get things done, not holding officials and politicians accountable, not valuing transparency and accountability and accepting corruption as a means of survival. Changing societal attitudes

towards corruption will be a crucial step in the fight against public sector corruption in Nigeria. This can be achieved through education, raising awareness, and promoting a culture of transparency and accountability. The government should take steps to promote transparency and accountability, and involve civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

Lack of transparency

The lack of transparency in government transactions in Nigeria has played a significant role in facilitating public sector corruption. Transparency refers to the ability to access information about government activities and decisions, and is essential for detecting and preventing corruption. Unfortunately, in Nigeria, many government transactions are not transparent, which makes it difficult to detect and prevent corruption. One of the main ways lack of transparency helps public sector corruption in Nigeria is by making it difficult to detect corrupt practices. When government transactions are not transparent, it is more difficult to identify and investigate instances of corruption. This can create a culture of impunity, where officials and politicians feel they can engage in corrupt practices without fear of being held accountable.

Lack of transparency contributes to corruption in Nigeria by making it difficult to hold officials and politicians accountable.^{xxxiii} When citizens do not have access to information about government activities and decisions, they are less able to hold officials accountable for their actions. This can create a sense of cynicism and apathy among citizens, and make it more difficult to combat corruption. Lack of transparency also contributes to corruption by making it difficult to monitor government spending.^{xxxiv} When government spending is not transparent, it is more difficult to identify instances of embezzlement and other forms of financial corruption. Lack of transparency also makes it difficult for citizens to participate in the democratic process, by not providing access to information on policy making and decision-making that affects them. This can lead to citizens feeling disengaged and less likely to hold officials accountable. The lack of transparency in government transactions in Nigeria has played a significant role in facilitating public sector corruption.^{xxxv} The lack of transparency makes it difficult to detect, investigate, and hold officials accountable for corrupt practices, it makes it difficult to monitor government spending, and it makes it difficult for citizens to participate in the democratic process. To combat corruption in Nigeria, it is essential to promote transparency in government activities and decisions. This can be achieved through measures such as open government initiatives, freedom of information laws, and anti-corruption laws, as

well as through the involvement of civil society organizations in monitoring government activities.

Inadequate legal framework

An inadequate legal framework can contribute to public sector corruption in several ways. In Nigeria, the legal framework for combating corruption is often weak and lacks the necessary tools and resources to effectively address the problem. One of the ways an inadequate legal framework contributes to public sector corruption is by not providing enough legal tools to investigate and prosecute corrupt officials. If the legal system does not have the necessary laws and regulations in place to address corruption, it can be difficult to hold corrupt officials accountable.

An inadequate legal framework contributes to public sector corruption by not providing enough resources to the relevant agencies and organizations to investigate and prosecute corruption cases. For instance, if the judiciary system is weak and underfunded, it can be difficult to prosecute corrupt officials and bring them to justice. An inadequate legal framework can also contribute to corruption by providing legal loopholes or ambiguity in the law which can be exploited by corrupt officials.^{xxxvi} This can provide a way for corrupt officials to evade prosecution, and perpetuate the culture of corruption.

Dependence on oil revenue

Dependence on oil revenue in Nigeria has played a significant role in facilitating public sector corruption.^{xxxvii} This dependence on oil revenue can help fuel corruption in several ways. Dependence on oil revenue contributes to corruption by creating a ‘rent-seeking’ culture.^{xxxviii} In Nigeria, oil revenue makes up a large portion of government income, and officials may engage in corrupt practices to gain access to these revenues. This can create a culture in which officials are more willing to engage in corrupt practices to get a share of the revenue.

Dependence on oil revenue drives corruption by creating a lack of accountability and transparency. In a context where a significant part of the government revenue comes from oil, officials may not feel the need to be accountable to the citizens for their actions, as they have a guaranteed source of income. The lack of transparency in the management of oil revenue often makes it easier for corrupt officials to embezzle funds and engage in other forms of

financial corruption. The Nigerian economy heavily depends on the oil sector, which makes it less diverse and less able to provide opportunities for citizens. This has led to a high level of poverty and unemployment, which has ensured more susceptibility to public sector corruption.^{xxxix}

The government has not been motivated to invest in other sectors, as they have a guaranteed source of income from the oil sector. This has further crippled development in other sectors, and has caused a lack of opportunities for citizens and perpetuated the cycle of poverty and corruption.

To combat public sector corruption in Nigeria, it is essential to promote diversification in the economy, to increase transparency in the management of oil revenue, and to promote accountability and good governance in the management of oil revenue. Additionally, the government should take steps to promote transparency and accountability and involve civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

THE ROOT OF PUBLIC SECTOR CORRUPTION IN NIGERIA

Public sector corruption in Nigeria is caused by a variety of factors. The most prominent cause is the lack of effective oversight and accountability mechanisms.^{xl} This has allowed corrupt officials to take advantage of their positions and engage in activities such as bribery, embezzlement, and nepotism. Effective oversight, which refers to the monitoring and regulation of government activities to ensure accountability, is an important tool for combating public sector corruption. However, in some cases, a lack of effective oversight can contribute to the growth of public sector corruption.

A lack of effective oversight can help corruption grow by creating a lack of accountability. When government activities are not properly monitored and regulated, it can be difficult to detect and prevent corruption. This can create a culture of impunity, where officials and politicians feel they can engage in corrupt practices without fear of being held accountable. Without proper oversight, officials may be able to embezzle funds or misuse resources without detection. When government activities are not properly monitored, it can be difficult for

citizens to access information about government activities and decisions, which can make it more difficult to hold officials accountable.^{xli}

A lack of effective oversight has aided public sector corruption grow in Nigeria, by allowing for regulatory capture, where the regulators are controlled by the industry they are supposed to regulate, instead of serving the public interest. This has lead to corruption and mismanagement of public resources. Effective oversight is an important tool for combating public sector corruption. To combat public sector corruption, it is essential to promote effective oversight by increasing transparency and accountability in government activities and involving civil society organizations in the monitoring of government activities. The lack of resources and capacity in government institutions has made it difficult to detect and investigate cases of corruption. The lack of resources and capacity in government institutions in Nigeria can contribute to the growth of public sector corruption in several ways.

The lack of resources and capacity has aided public sector corruption grow in Nigeria through the difficulty of government institutions to detect and investigate corrupt practices.^{xlii} Without adequate resources, institutions such as the judiciary, law enforcement agencies, and government agencies may not have the necessary tools and personnel to effectively investigate and prosecute corrupt officials. This can create a culture of impunity, where corrupt officials feel they can act with impunity, knowing that they are unlikely to be caught or held accountable.

Government institutions in Nigeria has had difficulty implementing anti-corruption measures.^{xliii} Without adequate resources, institutions may not be able to implement effective measures to combat corruption, such as implementing transparency and accountability mechanisms or developing regulations to prevent corrupt practices.

A major cause of public sector corruption in Nigeria is the culture of impunity that exists in the country. This culture has allowed corrupt officials to act with impunity, as they are rarely held accountable for their actions. The prevalence of patronage networks and clientelism has enabled corrupt officials to use their positions to benefit themselves and their allies. A culture of impunity, where individuals and institutions are not held accountable for their actions, would further exacerbate the growth of public sector corruption in Nigeria. When citizens believe that officials are not being held accountable for their actions, they may lose faith in the

government's ability to provide basic services and protect their rights. This can lead to a sense of cynicism and apathy among citizens, making them more susceptible to corruption.

Additionally, a culture of impunity would boost public sector corruption by creating a lack of transparency and accountability in government activities. When officials and politicians feel they can act with impunity, they may not feel the need to be transparent or accountable for their actions, making it more difficult to detect and prevent corruption.^{xliv}

The culture of impunity in Nigeria has helped institutionalize public sector corruption by creating a lack of accountability, lack of trust in government institutions, lack of transparency and accountability in government activities, and making it difficult to implement effective anti-corruption measures.^{xlv} To combat public sector corruption, it is essential to promote a culture of accountability and transparency, and to hold officials and politicians accountable for their actions. Additionally, the government should take steps to promote transparency and accountability and involve civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

Public sector corruption in Nigeria has attained the level of normative practice. The psychology of its influence is based on the purposelessness of sacrifice in the public sector. Altruism is a virtue that is despised by the corrupt, especially in the Nigerian public sector. This is why amongst those who believe public sector corruption is justified, there is the prevailing thought that *the system rewards no one if they cannot reward themselves*. This kind of thinking comes expressed in the way graft is being executed at the top levels of governmental organization.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an inadequate legal framework can contribute to public sector corruption in several ways. An inadequate legal framework in Nigeria can make it difficult to investigate and prosecute corrupt officials, can provide legal loopholes for corrupt officials to exploit, and cannot provide enough resources and regulations for prevention. To combat public sector corruption in Nigeria, it is essential to strengthen the legal framework by providing necessary laws and regulations, increasing resources for relevant agencies and organizations, and closing legal loopholes that can be exploited by corrupt officials. Furthermore, the government should

take steps to promote transparency and accountability and involve civil society organizations in the fight against corruption.

ENDNOTES

ⁱ Abimbola Adesoji and Olukemi Rotimi, 'Nigeria and Its Anti-Corruption War: The Cases of Dariye and Alamiyeseigha' (2008) 38(1) *Africa Insight* 159-172

ⁱⁱ *ibid*

ⁱⁱⁱ Alphonsus O. Isidiho and Mohammad Shatar B. Sabran, 'Challenges Facing Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC) Projects in Imo State and Niger Delta Region in Nigeria' (2015) 5.6 *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science* 37-48

^{iv} MJ Moon, 'Can IT help Government to Restore Public Trust? Declining Public Trust and Potential Prospects of IT in the Public Sector' (36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Proceedings of the, Big Island, HI, USA, 2003) 8

^v Jessie Bullock and Matthew Jenkins, *Corruption and Marginalization* (Transparency International 2020)

^{vi} Timothy Frye, 'Corruption and Law in Russia: The View from the Firm' (Russia After the Global Crisis Rowman and Littlefield 2010)

<http://www.columbia.edu/~tmf2/Russia_After_Global_Crisis_Frye01_04_10.pdf> accessed 23 January 2023

^{vii} Dorcas A Odunaike, Olalekan Moyosore Lalude, Temitope Odusanya, 'I Will Kill You and Nothing Will Happen: Extra-Judicial Killings in Nigeria and Public Interest Litigation' (2021) 26 *Bialostockie Studia Prawnicze* 145

^{viii} David U Enweremadu, 'Understanding Police Corruption and Its Effect on Internal Security in Nigeria' in OO Oshita, IM Alumona, FC Onuoha (eds) *Internal Security Management in Nigeria* (Palgrave Macmillan 2019) 327-350

^{ix} Alao Olaleke Michael, 'Structure and Modus Operandi of Code of Conduct Bureau and Public Complaints Commission in Enthroning Accountability in Governance: Nigeria Experience' (2020) 1(2) *Randwick International of Social Science Journal* 228-244; Lukman Raimi, I. B. Suara, and A. O. Fadipe, 'Role of Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and Independent Corrupt Practices & Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) at Ensuring Accountability and Corporate Governance in Nigeria' (2013) 3(2) *Journal of Business Administration and Education*

^x EFCC, 'Economic and Financial Crimes Commission' (EFCC 2023) <<https://www.efcc.gov.ng/efcc/>> last accessed 1 January 2023

^{xi} Emmanuel Obuah, 'Combating Corruption in a "Failed" State: The Nigerian Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC)' (2010) 12(1) *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* 27-53

^{xii} Emmanuel Obuah, 'Combating Corruption in a "Failed" State: The Nigerian Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC)' (2010) 12(1) *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*; Femi Adekoya, '\$140bn Yearly Loss to Corruption can Address Africa's Power Challenge' (*The Guardian*, 8 December 2020) <<https://guardian.ng/business-services/140bn-yearly-loss-to-corruption-can-address-africas-power-challenge/>> last accessed 1 January 2023

^{xiii} Emmanuel Obuah, 'Combating Corruption in a "Failed" State: The Nigerian Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC)' (2010) 12(1) *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*

^{xiv} Nigerian Scholars, 'Economic and Financial Crimes Commission' (Nigerian Scholars)

<<https://nigerianscholars.com/tutorials/post-independence-nigerian-government/economic-and-financial-crimes-commission/>> last accessed 1 January 2023

^{xv} EFCC Act, Section 6 (a) – (q)

^{xvi} EFCC, 'Economic and Financial Crimes Commission' (EFCC 2023) <<https://www.efcc.gov.ng/efcc/>> last accessed 1 January 2023

^{xvii} Alao Olaleke Michael, 'Structure and Modus Operandi of Code of Conduct Bureau and Public Complaints Commission in Enthroning Accountability in Governance: Nigeria Experience' (2020) 1(2) *Randwick International of Social Science Journal* 228-244

^{xviii} SF Folarin, 'The Anti-Corruption War in Nigeria: A Critical Appraisal of the Role of the ICPC and EFCC' (2009) 1(2) *Nigerian Journal of Economic and Financial Crimes* 14-36

- ^{xix} E Remi Aiyede, 'The Role of INEC, ICPC and EFCC in Combating Political Corruption' (International foundation for electoral system 2008)
- ^{xx} SF Folarin, 'The Anti-Corruption War in Nigeria: A Critical Appraisal of the Role of the ICPC and EFCC' (2009) 1(2) Nigerian Journal of Economic and Financial Crimes 14-36
- ^{xxi} *ibid*
- ^{xxii} E Remi Aiyede, 'The Role of INEC, ICPC and EFCC in Combating Political Corruption' (International foundation for electoral system 2008)
- ^{xxiii} Sabhi Saleh, 'Challenges in Combating Corruption and Fixing Accountability: In Iraq's Perspective' (2014) 5(13) International Journal of Business and Social Science
- ^{xxiv} Lori Ann Post, Amber NW Raile, and Eric D Raile, 'Defining political will' (2010) 38(4) Politics & Policy 653-676
- ^{xxv} John Ugoani, 'Political will and anticorruption crusade management in Nigeria' (University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka, 12th International Conference on Business Management (ICBM) 2015)
- ^{xxvi} Taiwo Olaleye-Oruene, 'Corruption in Nigeria: A Cultural Phenomenon' (1998) 5(3) Journal of Financial Crime
- ^{xxvii} *ibid*
- ^{xxviii} Nnamdi Ikpeze, 'Fusion of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Nigeria: A Critical Appraisal' (2013) 1(1) Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy (The) 148-167
- ^{xxix} *ibid*
- ^{xxx} Nnamdi Ikpeze, 'Fusion of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Nigeria: A Critical Appraisal' (2013) 1(1) Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy (The) 148-167
- ^{xxxi} Taiwo Olaleye-Oruene, 'Corruption in Nigeria: A Cultural Phenomenon' (1998) 5(3) Journal of Financial Crime
- ^{xxxii} *ibid*
- ^{xxxiii} Shuaib Olarewaju Moyosore, 'Corruption in Nigeria: Causes, Effects and Probable Solutions' (2015) 1(8) Journal of Political Science and Leadership Research 22-36
- ^{xxxiv} *ibid*
- ^{xxxv} Shuaib Olarewaju Moyosore, 'Corruption in Nigeria: Causes, Effects and Probable Solutions' (2015) 1(8) Journal of Political Science and Leadership Research 22-36
- ^{xxxvi} Philips O Okolo and Akpokighe Okiemute Raymond, 'Corruption in Nigeria: The Possible Way Out' (2014) 14(7) Global Journal of Human Social Science for Political Science 30-38
- ^{xxxvii} Nicholas Shaxson, 'Oil, Corruption and the Resource Curse' (2007) 83(6) International Affairs 1123-1140
- ^{xxxviii} Tom Ogwang, Frank Vanclay and Arjan van den Assem, 'Rent-Seeking Practices, Local Resource Curse, and Social Conflict in Uganda's Emerging Oil Economy' (2019) 8(4) Land 53
- ^{xxxix} Ogechi Adeola and Olaniyi Evans, 'Financial Inclusion, Financial Development and Economic Diversification in Nigeria' (2017) 51(3) The Journal of Developing Areas 1-15
- ^{xl} Rick Stapenhurst, Kerry Jacobs and Oladeji Olaore, 'Legislative Oversight in Nigeria: An Empirical Review and Assessment' (2016) 22(1) The Journal of Legislative Studies 1-29
- ^{xli} *ibid*
- ^{xlii} Akanmidu, Oludara, 'Criminal Enforcement of Directors' Occupational Crimes in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges' (2018) 82(6) The Journal of Criminal Law 457-469
- ^{xliiii} Ilufoye Sarafa Ogundiya, 'Anti-corruption reforms in Nigeria: challenges and failures' (2011) Assessment of Democratic Trends In Nigeria 191-209
- ^{xliiv} Dhikru A Yagboyaju, 'Religion, Culture and Political Corruption in Nigeria' (2017) 5(1) Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review 1-10
- ^{xliv} Mala Mustapha, 'Corruption in Nigeria: Conceptual and Empirical Notes' (2010) 3(2) Information, Society and Justice Journal 165-175